

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.

I am supposed to be polite..but I am not polite, I am very angry. Lets see if the politeness works and if not expect to see me on the streets
What Sinclair is doing is outrageous and if it is not stopped then I will protest. Surely there will be others also.

Pull Sinclair's license. The company is irresponsible and not serving the interests of the community by filtering news.

Word is that Sinclair is hurting financially and needs the deregulation promised by Bush to maintain its profits. Is that a motivation for iSinclair's "news", to maximize the companies profits? Pull their license.